

# Lecture #2: concentration inequalities

Hoeffding lemma: For  $X$  a random

variable s.t.  $X \in [a, b]$  a.s., then  $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\ln \mathbb{E}[e^{s(X - \mathbb{E}[X])}] = \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{sX}] - s\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \frac{s^2(b-a)^2}{8}$$

Proof:

define for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$   $\psi(s) = \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{sX}]$

Note that  $\mathbb{E}[e^{sX}] = \int_{\Omega} e^{s(\omega)} dP(\omega)$  can be differentiated

under the integral:  
for any compact  $I$ ,  $\forall s \in I$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ ,  $x e^x \leq e^{\max_{y \in I} |y| \max(|a|, |b|)}$

$$\text{so: } \psi'(s) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X e^{sX}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{sX}]}$$

$$\text{Similarly: } \psi''(s) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2 e^{sX}] \mathbb{E}[e^{sX}] - \mathbb{E}[X e^{sX}]^2}{\mathbb{E}[e^{sX}]^2}$$

$$= \text{Var}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$$

under the probability  $\mathbb{Q}$  defined as:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}(\omega) = \frac{e^{\delta X(\omega)}}{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[e^{\delta X}]}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[(X-\mu)^2] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left(X - \frac{a+b}{2}\right)^2\right] \leq \frac{(a-b)^2}{4}$$

$\psi$  is  $\mathcal{C}^2$ , so that Taylor expansion yields for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and some  $c_s \in [0, s]$ :

$$\psi(s) = \psi(0) + s \psi'(0) + \frac{s^2}{2} \psi''(c_s)$$

$$\leq 0 + s \mathbb{E}[X] + \frac{s^2}{8}$$

□

## Hoeffding lemma (conditional version)

$X$  r.v. such that  $X \in [a, b]$  a.s. Then for all  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ :  $\ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\delta(X - \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}])} | \mathcal{G}] \leq \frac{\delta^2}{8} (b-a)^2$

we could work with a similar (but adapted) proof  $\rightarrow$  see exercise session #1

Let's prove it in the less elegant, but original way.

Proof Let  $Y = X - E[X|g] \in [A, B]$

where  
 $A = a - E[X|g]$   
 $B = b - E[X|g]$   
are both measurable  
and  $B - A = b - a > 0$

$$Y = \frac{B-Y}{B-A} A + \frac{Y-A}{B-A} B$$

since  $y \mapsto e^{\Delta y}$  is convex:  $e^{\Delta Y} \leq \frac{B-Y}{B-A} e^{\Delta A} + \frac{Y-A}{B-A} e^{\Delta B}$

Taking  $E[\cdot|g]$  using  $E[Y|g] = 0$  and  $A, B$   $g$ -measurable

$$E[e^{\Delta Y}|g] \leq \frac{B}{B-A} e^{\Delta A} - A e^{\Delta B}$$

Now by a function study (eg the proof of unconditional Hoeffding Lemma)

$\forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \forall p \in [0, 1], \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\ln(pe^{\Delta u} + (1-p)e^{\Delta v}) \leq \Delta(pu + (1-p)v) + \frac{\Delta^2}{8}(v-u)^2$$

In particular:

$B \geq 0$   
 $A \leq 0$

$$\frac{B}{B-A} e^{\Delta A} - \frac{A}{B-A} e^{\Delta B} \leq \exp\left(\Delta\left(\frac{BA}{B-A} - \frac{AB}{B-A}\right) + \frac{\Delta^2}{8}(B-A)^2\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{\delta'}{8}(b-a)^2\right)$$

Finally,  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\delta Y} | \mathcal{G}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\delta'}{8}(b-a)^2\right)$   $\square$ .

## Hoeffding-Azuma inequality

Let  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a filtration and let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 1}$  be a sequence of adapted random variables (i.e.  $\forall t \geq 1, X_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable), that are bounded  $\forall t, a_t \leq X_t \leq b_t$  a.s. where  $a_t, b_t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T X_t - \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T (b_t - a_t)^2}\right)$$

Note: Hoeffding's inequality is the special case when all  $X_t$  are independent and  $\mathcal{F}_{t-1} = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_{t-1})$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbb{E}[X_t]$

## Proof

Denote the martingale  $S_T = \sum_{t=1}^T X_t - \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$

**Markov inequality** yields for any  $\eta > 0$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(S_T > \varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}(e^{\eta S_T} > e^{\eta \varepsilon}) \leq e^{-\eta \varepsilon} \mathbb{E}[e^{\eta S_T}]$$

We show by induction that  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\gamma S_T}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\gamma^2}{8} \sum_{t=1}^T (b_t - a_t)^2\right)$

- For  $T=1$ , conditional Hoeffding's lemma gives:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\gamma S_1} | \mathcal{F}_0] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\gamma^2}{8} \sum_{t=1}^1 (b_t - a_t)^2\right)$$

so same goes for  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\gamma S_1}]$ .

- Assume it holds for  $T \geq 1$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\gamma S_{T+1}}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[e^{\gamma S_{T+1}} | \mathcal{F}_T]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\gamma S_T} e^{\gamma(X_{T+1} - \mathbb{E}[X_{T+1} | \mathcal{F}_T])} \mid \mathcal{F}_T\right]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\gamma S_T} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\gamma(X_{T+1} - \mathbb{E}[X_{T+1} | \mathcal{F}_T])} \mid \mathcal{F}_T\right]\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\gamma S_T}] e^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8} (b_{T+1} - a_{T+1})^2}$$

$\uparrow$  conditional Hoeffding lemma

$$\leq e^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8} \sum_{t=1}^T (b_t - a_t)^2} e^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8} (b_{T+1} - a_{T+1})^2}$$

$\uparrow$  induction hypothesis

So previous Markov inequality becomes

$$\mathbb{P}(S_T > \varepsilon) \leq e^{-\gamma \varepsilon} + \frac{\gamma^2}{8} \sum_{t=1}^T (b_t - a_t)^2$$

This holds for any  $\eta > 0$ . Taking  $\eta = \frac{4\epsilon}{\sum_{t=1}^T (b_t - a_t)^2}$  minimises the right hand side.

$$P(S_T > \epsilon) \leq e^{-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T (b_t - a_t)^2}} \quad \square.$$

Hoeffding (Azuma) inequality is very useful in stochastic bandit problems (next lectures).

Yet all the above inequalities hold for bounded random variables.

Can we have similar versions with unbounded variables?

### Definition (sub-Gaussian variables)

A r.v.  $X \in \mathbb{R}$  is  $\sigma$  sub-Gaussian if  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}[e^{tX - t\mathbb{E}[X]}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}\right)$ .

i.e. if it satisfies Hoeffding's lemma!

### Examples:

• if  $X \in [a, b]$  a.s., then it is  $\frac{(b-a)^2}{4}$  sub-Gaussian

• if  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , it is  $\sigma$  sub-Gaussian.

→ Indeed, assume  $\mu=0, \sigma=1$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{sX}] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + sx} dx \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{s^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-s)^2} dx = e^{\frac{s^2}{2}} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The general case  $\mu, \sigma$  is the same by rescaling argument.

Hoeffding's inequality holds for  $\sigma$  sub-Gaussian random variables:

## Hoeffding inequality (sub-Gaussian version)

Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 1}$  be independent random variables, where each  $X_t$  is  $\sigma_t$  sub-Gaussian. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T X_t - \mathbb{E}[X_t] \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2 \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t^2}}$$

- Same proof as in the bounded case.
- Hoeffding-Azuma can be extended to the sub-Gaussian case, when carefully handling the assumptions.

see exam session #1 for a sub-Gaussian Hoeffding-Azuma inequality

# Bernstein Inequality

Let  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a filtration and let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 1}$  be a sequence of adapted random variables (i.e.  $\forall t \geq 1, X_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable), that are bounded  $\forall t, 0 \leq X_t \leq 1$  a.s. and  $\text{Var}(X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) \leq \sigma_t^2$ , where  $a_t, b_t, \sigma_t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T X_t - \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2}{2 \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}}\right)$$

Comparison with Hoeffding-Azuma:  $\exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{T}\right)$  (with  $a_t=0, b_t=1$ )

With only  $0 \leq X_t \leq 1$ , we can only guarantee  $\text{Var}(X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , in which case Hoeffding-Azuma is better (no additional  $\epsilon$  term below)

But if  $\sigma_t^2 \ll \frac{1}{4}$ , Bernstein can be much better.

→ this is for example useful when  $X_t \sim \text{Ber}(p_t)$  with a small (or large)  $p_t$ .

• often used with  $\epsilon$  scaling in  $T$ , while Hoeffding is used with  $\epsilon$  scaling in  $\sqrt{T}$

Proof: Similar to Hoeffding-Azuma proof, but using

Bernstein Lemma: Let  $X$  be a random variable in  $[0, 1]$ . Then for any  $\gamma > 0$ :

see exam  
session #1

$$\ln(\mathbb{E}[e^{\gamma X}]) \leq \gamma \mathbb{E}[X] + (e^{\gamma} - \gamma - 1) \text{Var}(X)$$



Denote the martingale  $S_T = \sum_{t=1}^T X_t - \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$

Let  $\eta > 0$

$$P(S_T \geq \varepsilon) \leq e^{-\eta \varepsilon} \mathbb{E}[e^{\eta S_T}]$$

We can again show by induction

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\eta S_T}] \leq e^{(e^{\eta} - \eta - 1) \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t^2}$$

So that

$$P(S_T \geq \varepsilon) \leq e^{(e^{\eta} - \eta - 1) \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t^2}{V} - \eta \varepsilon}$$

for any  $\eta > 0$

Minimizing the quantity  $\underbrace{(e^{\eta} - \eta - 1)}_{f(\eta)} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t^2}{V} - \eta \varepsilon$

$$f'(\eta) = 0$$

$$V e^{\eta} = V + \varepsilon$$

$$\eta^* = \ln\left(\frac{V + \varepsilon}{V}\right)$$

$$\text{and } f(\eta^*) = V + \varepsilon - (V + \varepsilon) \ln\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{V}\right) - V$$

$$\text{so: } P(S_T \geq \varepsilon) \leq \exp\left(-h\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t^2}\right) \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t^2\right)$$

Bennett's inequality.

where  $h(u) = (1+u) \ln(1+u) - u$ . J

Using  $h(u) \geq \frac{u^2}{2 + \frac{2u}{3}}$  for  $u \geq 0$  finally yields

see below  $\leftarrow$

$$\mathbb{P}(S_T \geq \varepsilon) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^T \sigma_i^2 + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}}\right) \square.$$

**Lemma** For  $h(u) = (1+u) \ln(1+u) - u$

$$\forall u \geq 0, h(u) \geq \frac{u^2}{2 + \frac{2u}{3}}$$

Proof. This is simply by comparison of the derivatives.

$$\text{Define } f(u) = h(u) - \frac{u^2}{2 + \frac{2}{3}u}$$

$$f'(u) = \ln(1+u) - \frac{2u(2 + \frac{2}{3}u) - \frac{2}{3}u^2}{(2 + \frac{2}{3}u)^2}$$

$$= \ln(1+u) - \frac{4u + \frac{2}{3}u^2}{(2 + \frac{2}{3}u)^2}$$

$$f''(u) = \frac{1}{1+u} - \frac{(4+\frac{4}{3}u)(2+\frac{2}{3}u) - (4+\frac{4}{3}u)(4u+\frac{2}{3}u^2)}{(2+\frac{2}{3}u)^3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+u} - 2 \frac{2+\frac{2}{3}u - 4u - \frac{2}{3}u^2}{(2+\frac{2}{3}u)^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+u} - 2 \frac{2 - \frac{10}{3}u - \frac{2}{3}u^2}{(2+\frac{2}{3}u)^2}$$

$$= \frac{(2+\frac{2}{3}u)^2 - (1+u)(4 - \frac{10}{3}u - \frac{2}{3}u^2)}{(1+u)(2+\frac{2}{3}u)^2} = \frac{0 + (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{20}{3} - 4)u + (\frac{4}{9} + \frac{20}{3} + \frac{4}{9})u^2 + \frac{4}{9}u^3}{(1+u)(2+\frac{2}{3}u)^2}$$

$\geq 0$  for  $u \geq 0$

$$f(0) = 0$$

$$f'(0) = 0 \quad f \text{ convex on } \mathbb{R}_+$$

$$\text{so } f' \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+$$

$$f \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \square$$